

# WEEKLY EPIDEMIOLOGICAL REPORT A publication of the Epidemiology Unit Ministry of Health, Nutrition & Indigenous Medicine 231, de Saram Place, Colombo 01000, Sri Lanka Tele: + 94 11 2695112, Fax: +94 11 2696583, E mail: epidunit@sltnet.lk Epidemiologist: +94 11 2681548, E mail: chepid@sltnet.lk Web: http://www.epid.gov.lk

# Vol. 44 No. 29

### 15th- 21st July 2017

### Let's say 'Goodbye'to polythene (Part I)

#### Introduction

Plastic is an expedient innovation and uses in an enormous and growing range of products from paper clips to spaceships due to its low-cost, ease of manufacture, versatility, and imperviousness to water. Accordingly, polythene or polyethylene (PE) records as the most common plastic which exceeds 80 million tons of the global annual production. A German chemist, Hans Von Penchmann first invented polythene accidentally in 1898 while investigating diamethane nearly a decade before Leo Baekeland invented plastics. Though polythene still seems to be a noble companion in every field such as rail, transport, defense, automotive field and aerospace, medical and healthcare, electrical, electronics, telecommunication, building and infrastructure, furniture, composites, it causes serious environmental, social, financial and health effects due to misuse and improper disposal methods, thus, suitable discarding technique is needed.

#### **Disposal of polythene**

There are four major options for disposal of polythene: landfilling, incineration, recycling, and biodegradation. All polythene can be disposed by landfills or incinerated. But, landfills require space and the chemical constituents and energy contained in polythene typically absorbed to the soil in this disposal route. The second option, incineration, returns some of the energy from plastic production but is known to release of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas and of other air pollutants such as carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and dioxins. Many polythene can be recycled, and some of the materials used to make polythene can be recovered. However, this method is not fully utilized, due to difficulties with the collection and sorting of polythene waste. Finally, certain polymers are designed to biodegrade, thereby preventing long-term environmental damage from pollution. There has been a research carried out internationally that suggests the use of paper bags, leave a higher carbon footprint than their plastic counterpart especially for manufacturing and transporting. However, many biodegradable polythene may not biodegrade rapidly under

ambient environmental conditions to avoid accumulation from continuous inputs; and biodegradable polythene also can contaminate and disrupt the current recycling stream, due to their similar appearance, yet distinct makeup.

#### **Environmental related effects**

Environmental effects are most commonly occur during manufacturing and disposal of polythene. It is made from derivatives of petroleum, thus petroleum related activities are a major contributor to emit greenhouse gases which harm the environment and contribute to global climate change. Moreover, discarded polythene ends up in water ways causing a blockage, while Sri Lanka has been ranked as the world fifth most egregious sea polluter discards around 1.6 metric tons of plastic to the sea annually, though Sri Lanka is a small Island compared to the other top sea polluters. Conversely, it severely affected to around 700 marine animals such as whales, dolphins, and turtles.

#### **Health related effects**

Polythene has posted a serious threat to all living beings on the planet. Various chemicals such as phthalates, bisphenols A, polybrominated diphenyl ethers and tetrabromo bisphenol A are used to produce plastic that leads to alter the hormone system in animals. Consequently, toxic gases such as diaoxine, furan, carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide are generated during burning of polythene that leads to cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases, reduction of fertility and cancer other than its direct hurt to the environment.

#### Polythene ban in Sri Lanka

A recent survey has shown that over 200,000 lunch sheets and about 150,000 polythene bags are used daily and per capita daily consumption of polythene and plastics in Sri Lanka is about 0.5kg. Whereas plastics have been in the public eye recently owing to disasters such as the Meethotamulla garbage dump, flooding in urban areas even in light rain and death of wild life. Recent several studies described

Contents	Page
<ol> <li>Leading Article – Let's say 'Goodbye'to polythene (Part I)</li> <li>Summary of selected notifiable diseases reported - (08<sup>th</sup> – 14<sup>th</sup>July 2017)</li> <li>Surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases &amp; AFP - (08<sup>th</sup> – 14<sup>th</sup> July 2017)</li> </ol>	1 3 4

# *WER Sri Lanka* - Vol. 44 No. 29

the main composition of the dumping garbage: 60%-70% as biodegradable, 7% as recyclable waste and lunch sheets, shopping bags and regiform boxes as residuals. Due to long lasting effect in the environment, polythene leads to serious problems, therefore ban is a proper solution.

Though, there was an order published under gazette notifications to ban polythene in 2006 further to National Environment Act No 47 of 1980, it seems to have done nothing to halt the flood of polythene into the market. It failed due to several reasons such as incapable of introducing a substitute to polythene, lack of awareness among public and less motivation. According to the cabinet paper No. 17/1405/704/022 dated on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2017, manufacturing, trading and use of polythene products such as lunch sheets, polystyrene (regiform) disposable lunch boxes and grocery bags (shopping bags) have been banned with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> September 2017. It has been claimed that around 40,000 stakeholders in the polythene industry will be adversely affected by the ban on September 1<sup>st</sup> and after intense lobbying by polythene and plastic industry a grace period may yet to be given to manufactures to make the transition to biodegradable polythene.

Though it is difficult to practice, to make Sri Lanka a polythene free country and find a sustainable solution to solid waste management, we must follow the several measures developed by Central Environmental Authority (CEA). It includes the short term, intermediate and long term measures. National Environment Act, Consumer Act, Importing and Exporting Act and Food Act will be amended to support this also. The government will penalize offenders by imposing a fine of RS. 10,000 or a two-year imprisonment.

#### 1. Short term measures

- Prohibition of polythene use for decoration in all events
- Implement the prevailing regulations for the prohibition of use, sale and production of polythene equal or less than 20 microns
- Allow use of polythene < 20 microns for essential activities only with the approval of the CEA
- Prohibition of sale, importation and production of lunch sheets
- Prohibition of sale, importation and production of containers, plates, cups, spoons made using polystyrene
- Prohibition of sale of processed or cooked meals packed in polythene containers
- Promotion of providing paper, cloth or reed bags or biologically degradable plastics for customers when purchasing items in stores
- Prohibition of burning polythene and plastic in open places
- Introduction and promotion of biologically degradable polythene and plastics

#### 2. Intermediate measures

• The manufacture of biodegradable plastics remains prohibitively expensive; the Government will, therefore, introduce tax concessions to import machinery for the manufacture of biologically degradable plastic and a cess tax of 15% on the import of plastic raw material and goods

#### 3. Long term measures

• The President has also proposed a blanket ban on import of recycled plastic, as a long-term measure

District	MOH areas	No: Expected *	No: Received
Colombo	15	90	68
Gampaha	15	90	NR
Kalutara	12	72	NR
Kalutara NIHS	2	12	NR
Kandy	23	138	NR
Matale	13	78	NR
Nuwara Eliya	13	78	101
Galle	20	120	31
Matara	17	102	NR
Hambantota	12	72	NR
Jaffna	12	72	129
Kilinochchi	4	24	17
Manner	5	30	32
Vavuniya	4	24	39
Mullatvu	5	30	NR
Batticaloa	14	84	57
Ampara	7	42	31
Trincomalee	11	66	21
Kurunegala	29	174	55
Puttalam	13	78	NR
Anuradhapura	19	114	NR
Polonnaruwa	7	42	29
Badulla	16	96	68
Moneragala	11	66	111
Rathnapura	18	108	NR
Kegalle	11	66	NR
Kalmunai	13	78	78

#### Sources

Central Environment Authority, Sri Lanka, 2017. Available online: www.cea.lk Sriyananda S. Ban on polythene controls floods, boosts jute products:'green'bags in Bangaladesh.Visvanathan C, Norbu T. Reduce, reuse, and recycle: the 3Rs in South Asia. In3 R South Asia Expert Workshop 2006 Sep. **Compiled by Dr. K C Kalubowila, Registrar in Community Medicine, Depart-**

ment of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo

# WER Sri Lanka - Vol. 44 No. 29

15<sup>th</sup>- 21<sup>st</sup> July 2017

Table	able 1: Selected notifiable diseases reported by Medical Officers of Health 08th-14thJuly 2017 (28thWeek)														)														
CD	* č	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	88	100	100	100	100	100	100	66	100	100	100	100	98	100	66	100	100	66	
WR	*	22	9	2	14	12	46	16	9	10	37	24	14	11	7	21	31	17	10	7	8	2	9	27	9	10	11	14	
mani-	в	Ч	2	0	~	4	0	0	187	80	0	2	0	6	1	1	ю	m	94	ε	161	85	11	12	16	9	0	688	
Leish asis	٩	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	ω	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	2	1	-	0	0	0	21	
-ingi-	В	19	22	84	27	40	33	45	15	4	В	2	0	2	ъ	21	29	18	40	30	43	12	118	40	122	46	11	86	
Mer tis	٩	1	7	~	0	0	0	2	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0		1	4	0	2	2	0	m	
kenpox	В	1 225	2 181	6 355	6 153	1 32	1 233	8 252	0 137	5 142	4 130	0	0 12	1 20	0 11	3 124	4 125	2 101	3 360	3 108	6 281	7 161	3 232	1 56	2 218	3 172	1 112	3 392	
Chic	A	0				_	0					0	0	0	1		0	0	2	0	0	0			0	0	0	2	
man bies	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 1(	
s Ra	A	11	~	m	ი	ы	16		~	4	m	7	0	1	1	4	e	17	15	-1	ი	9	45	16	56	10	5	54	
Viral Hepatiti	•	1	0		0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T I	0	9	0	0	2 2	
	4		6	ы	50	2	õ	31	37	16	2	12	5	7	4	0	1	12	24	11	El	5	71	62	22	52	0	2 1	
yphus ⁻ever	8				0,		Ħ		(*)		ŝ															,		102	
т. Н	٩	0	0	0	ъ	0	ъ	m	2	0	m	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	ß	0	1	0	25	
ospirosis	8	68	34	186	30	29	23	188	34	134	23	m	2	24	12	17	6	16	45	18	50	30	58	06	396	49	9	1573	
Lepto	A	2	1	4	2	ε	1	10	2	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	4	2	ε	0	2	1	8	1	0	56	
ood soning	В	25	8	46	6	9	6	12	17	S	51	1	0	9	1	18	0	16	14	0	10	2	2	6	8	17	275	570	
Poi	A	-	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	
ic Fever	8	21	16	10	4	1	22	10	2	2	27	6	1	27	£	13	1	ъ	2	2	1	6	2	T	9	4	4	214	
Enteri	۷	0	0	-	0	0					0		0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	Ч	0	0		15	
phalitis	В	ε	12	£	Υ	1	7	2	5	8	12	1	0	0	1	8	2	2	9	2	2	5	5	ε	62	8	4	173	
Ence	۲	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
entery	B	40	21	37	51	16	16	36	15	21	163	11	ъ	12	8	64	15	13	48	28	26	11	55	39	96	25	55	919	
Dys	A	0	1	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	12	36	
le Fever	в	21917	19166	6609	6324	1506	450	3624	2109	3415	3251	316	486	581	207	4154	510	4442	6474	2878	1748	924	1817	1350	6463	5097	1816	105859	al ve
Dengu	A	1762	2631	703	869	204	58	192	138	378	111	27	2	31	12	43	42	19	681	284	116	63	305	137	649	671	32	666	no onid or
RDHS Division		Colombo	Gampaha	Kalutara	Kandy	Matale	NuwaraEliya	Galle	Hambantota	Matara	Jaffna	Kilinochchi	Mannar	Vavuniya	Mullaitivu	Batticaloa	Ampara	Trincomalee	Kurunegala	Puttalam	Anuradhapur	Polonnaruwa	Badulla	Monaragala	Ratnapura	Kegalle	Kalmune	SRILANKA	Source: a surveillar

Page 3

# WER Sri Lanka - Vol. 44 No. 29

# Table 2: Vaccine-Preventable Diseases & AFP

## 15<sup>th</sup>- 21<sup>st</sup> July 2017

### 08th- 14th July 2017 (28th Week)

Disease				No. of Ca	ses by I	Province	e		Number of cases during current	Number of cases during same	Total number of cases to	Total num- ber of cases to date in	Difference between the number of	
	w	С	S	N	Е	NW	NC	U	Sab	week in 2017	week in 2016	2017	2016	cases to date in 2017 & 2016
AFP*	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	02	02 41 35		
Diphtheria	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0%
Mumps	00	01	00	01	02	00	01	00	01	06	03	196	227	- 13.6%
Measles	00	01	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	02	00	134	288	- 53.4%
Rubella	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	05	06	- 16.6%
CRS**	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	0%
Tetanus	00	01	00	00	00	01	00	00	00	02	00	10	04	150%
Neonatal Teta- nus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0%
Japanese En- cephalitis	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	21	08	61.9%
Whooping Cough	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	09	31	- 71%
Tuberculosis	83	13	23	07	09	08	06	08	13	170	182	4429	5114	-13.4%

#### Key to Table 1 & 2

Provinces: W: Western, C: Central, S: Southern, N: North, E: East, NC: North Central, NW: North Western, U: Uva, Sab: Sabaragamuwa.

RDHS Divisions: CB: Colombo, GM: Gampaha, KL: Kalutara, KD: Kandy, ML: Matale, NE: Nuwara Eliya, GL: Galle, HB: Hambantota, MT: Matara, JF: Jaffna,

KN: Killinochchi, MN: Mannar, VA: Vavuniya, MU: Mullaitivu, BT: Batticaloa, AM: Ampara, TR: Trincomalee, KM: Kalmunai, KR: Kurunegala, PU: Puttalam, AP: Anuradhapura, PO: Polonnaruwa, BD: Badulla, MO: Moneragala, RP: Ratnapura, KG: Kegalle.

Data Sources:

Weekly Return of Communicable Diseases: Diphtheria, Measles, Tetanus, Neonatal Tetanus, Whooping Cough, Chickenpox, Meningitis, Mumps., Rubella, CRS, Special Surveillance: AFP\* (Acute Flaccid Paralysis), Japanese Encephalitis CRS\*\* =Congenital Rubella Syndrome

Influenza Surveillance in Sentinel Hospitals - ILI & SARI													
Month		Human	Animal										
	No Total	No Positive	Infl A	Infl B	Pooled samples	Serum Samples	Positives						
July	275	36	30	6	1052	742	0						

Source: Medical Research Institute & Veterinary Research Institute

### PRINTING OF THIS PUBLICATION IS FUNDED BY THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO).

Comments and contributions for publication in the WER Sri Lanka are welcome. However, the editor reserves the right to accept or reject items for publication. All correspondence should be mailed to The Editor, WER Sri Lanka, Epidemiological Unit, P.O. Box 1567, Colombo or sent by E-mail to chepid@sltnet.lk. Prior approval should be obtained from the Epidemiology Unit before publishing data in this publication

# **ON STATE SERVICE**