

WEEKLY EPIDEMIOLOGICAL REPORT

A publication of the Epidemiology Unit Ministry of Health, Nutrition & Indigenous Medicine 231, de Saram Place, Colombo 01000, Sri Lanka Tele: + 94 11 2695112, Fax: +94 11 2696583, E mail: epidunit@sltnet.lk Epidemiologist: +94 11 2681548, E mail: chepid@sltnet.lk Web: http://www.epid.gov.lk

Vol. 43 No. 44

Bioterrorism

According to the Center for Disease Control, USA bioterrorism is the deliberate release of viruses, bacteria, or other germs (agents) used to cause illness or death in people, animals, or plants. These agents are typically found in nature, but it is possible that they could be changed to increase their ability to cause disease, make them resistant to current medicines, or to increase their ability to be spread into the environment. Biological agents can be spread through the air, through water, or in food. Terrorists may use biological agents because they can be extremely difficult to detect and not cause illness for several hours to several days. Some bioterrorism agents, like the smallpox virus, can be spread from person to person and some, like anthrax. cannot.

History of bioterrorism goes back to the Roman civilization where they have used human faeces as a weapon. They used to throw faeces over the faces of enemy soldiers. This will cause food poisoning among exposed enemy soldiers and invariably cause outbreak situation in the enemy camp with poor sanitation conditions as well as poor sanitation habits. Within a few days there would be a lesser number of enemy forces to face with the Roman army giving a victorious advantage. There were evidence that biological means have also been used in World War I and II. In all these situations wide use of biological weapons were limited as the technology that was used to disseminate the biological agents was not well developed to secure the potency of the agents used. Other than that, infectivity and spread of biological agents were well developed to have the desired control.

Apart from the above example until the latter part of the 20th century bioterrorism was more or less confined to the dictionary definition and to theoretical possibility. The topic was discussed among professionals in the respective fields. This was due to the science of producing enough organisms to have infective dose and dispersing them in a weaponry form is so difficult that it is within the reach of only the most sophisticated laboratories owned by the richest nations in the world. But with the advancement of the biotechnology, military technology and access to knowledge of biological weaponry paved the path to cross these barriers to produce biological weapons. In addition, the changes in political and economical power balance in the world arena also help to pass the knowledge of biological warfare to the terrorist groups.

22nd – 28th October 2016

The devastating results of bioterrorism have been well summarized by Richard Nixon, President of USA, who once said that, "biological weapons have massive, unpredictable, and potentially uncontrollable consequences. They may produce global epidemics and impair health of the future generations." With this vision he has ordered the relevant officials to close down the biological arms development in USA.

The most feared disadvantage of the biological weapon is the victimization of both parties to the same pathogen since there was no means of containing the spread of the pathogen. Therefore, scientists who were working in this field studied new ways and means of using biological weapons. Now they are concentrating on destroying animals and the plants which can directly affect food production and the economy of the country but less or no effect on humans using genetic engineering methods. Though there are no actual incidences of biological weapon use to destroy the economy of a country, the capability was well demonstrated by natural occurrence of such disease. The best example is the foot and mouth disease that destroyed the majority of cattle stocks in England in 2001 and 2007. Due to the main limitations of the biological weapons i.e. victimization of both groups, difficult to control and unpredictable spread, biological weapons are used for creating psychological threat in the enemy party or mass panic creator for disruption of smooth functioning of the society. This type of mass panic was caused in the USA by terrorist

Contents	Page
1. Leading Article – Bioterrorism	1
2. Summary of selected notifiable diseases reported $-(15^{\pm}-21^{\pm} \text{ October 2016})$	3
3. Surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases $\mathcal{E} AFP - (15^{*} - 21^{*} October 2016)$	4

WER Sri Lanka - Vol. 43 No. 44

groups and in Japan by a extremist religious groups.

When considering the biological agents that can be used to produce terror, they are classified according to their potency to cause illness, complications, portability and ability for easy dissemination.

• Category A:

These pathogens are highly virulent and cause high mortality. Agents in this category can transmit and disseminated easily. Examples are Anthrax, Smallpox, and Viral haemorrhagic fever.

• Category B:

These pathogens are moderately easy to transport and • Healthcare institutional level: disseminate. They have low virulence. Brucellosis, Salmonella spp., and E. coli are in this group.

• Category C:

tion and dissemination and those can be genetically engineered to transform into high virulence as well as high portable form. the response mechanism. In addition isolation units with per-E.g. Hanta virus, Nipah virus.

Since bioterrorism is dealing with the infectious agents, possible threat will be monitored using the same theories of communicable disease monitoring i.e. disease surveillance. Due to the fact that its potential threat of rapid destruction over a mass population some modification may be applied to fine tune the operational needs of the system like high sensitivity and ability to emit surveillance systems, appointing authorized personnel and instiearly warning.

Because of heightened concerns about the possibility of bioterrorist attacks, public health agencies are testing new methods of surveillance intended to detect the early manifestations of illness that may occur during a bioterrorism related epidemic. It is considered a bioterrorism surveillance system is much more cost effective when operate using syndromic definition rather than using a single disease entity. This "syndromic surveillance," encompasses a spectrum of activities that include monitoring illness syndromes or events for early warning, detect affected community, detect contaminated area and initiate rapid a bioterrorist attack. response for treatment and control. The primary objective of the When considering the Sri Lankan context, the country has resurveillance system is to detect the threat before specific diagnosis is made and reported to public health authorities. It is also essential to establish a diagnosis for specific public health response to a bioterrorism related epidemic, since the diagnosis will guide the use of proper vaccinations, medications, and other appropriate interventions.

beings are fortunate not to face massive destruction due to their

use. Since future possibility of such an attack cannot be • ruled out, many organization around the world working towards preparedness of bioterrorist attack have defined many levels of preparedness as follows

Personal level:

can be divided into three steps. 1 - Arrange emergency kit which contain valuable personal documents, essential

medications for a few days, food and water for a few days and place all of them as a package in an easily accessible place. 2 -Preplan a safe place as a final destination in the emergency period. 3- Be informed on local and national guidelines that will be followed in a state of emergency.

Business level:

main concern is the mitigation of spread of airborne pathogens. This task is achieved incorporating newer methods of air circulation, air circulation control and air filtration methods in the commercial building construction.

most probably healthcare facilities will be the first place to detect a possible bioterrorist attack. All treating physicians should be aware of the case definitions established for case They are the emerging pathogens with ease of produc- detection purpose. Hospital should have a list of contacts of key personnel and institutes in a bioterrorist attack to quickly initiate sonal protective equipment and treatment should be readily available in adequate quantities. Staff should be well trained for this demanding situation.

Local and national level:

at these levels major functions are the setting up of tutions for rapid response, providing guidelines and training. It is also necessary to providelegal and budgetary allocations for smooth functioning of the response process.

Legal sector:

should have constant communication with health and law enforcement institutes to develop legal protocols for control of communicable diseases as well as local, national and international quarantine purposes.

Proper operation of these levels helps to mitigate the effects of

cently ended an almost 30 year war fare with a terrorist group and is still in the recovering stage. Members of the defeated militant group are still trying to gain their lost power back, nationally as well as internationally. They still have links with other terrorist groups all over the world and some of them have access to biological weapons. They have the wealth to purchase Though the world fear about bioterrorist attacks or use of bio- the weaponry and technology for biological war fare. Therefore, logical weapons under "legitimate authority", up to now human the possibility of this threat should always be remembered by the relevant groups namely armed forces, legal authorities and health care sector specially the public health professionals. It is better to evaluate by the relevant authorities to establish a surveillance and rapid response system in Sri Lanka in a bioterrorist attack.

References

http://www.bt.cdc.gov/bioterrorism/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bioterrorism

This article was complied by Dr. Chathura S Edirisuriya, Registrar (Community Medicine)

22nd-28th October 2016

Table 1	1: 5	Sele	ecte	d n	otifi	able	e dis	seas	ses	repo	orte	d by	y M€	edic	al C	Offic	ers	of	Hea	lth		15 th	2- ו	1 st (Dct	201	6 (4	3rd	Week)
B	* 0	100	87	86	96	77	100	80	83	100	100	100	100	100	80	79	86	83	06	79	79	86	94	100	89	100	85	89	
WR	*	94	80	79	57	54	92	70	75	100	100	75	100	100	80	50	29	75	66	64	37	57	71	73	72	82	38	70	
-eishmani- asis	в	0	7	0	6	18	0	m	309	177	-	0	0	9	6	. 	ω	11	95	4	214	113	3	34	-	2	0	022	
	A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	0	0	0	27 1	
	в	51	41	84	40	54	38	35	15	23	58	10	4	10	11	14	പ	12	55	55	43	18	80	23	141	51	24	095	
leningit	A	0	-	с С	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	. 	0	0	3	m	0	` °	0	` O	2	0	20 1	less
2	~	39	80	6t	60	4	24	55	60	55	56	0	-	7	3	2	t3	L†	26	6	5	29	3	e	80	94	6	26	npleter
kenpox		38	35	27	50	Ϋ́	1	25	50	16	1	-	17	2	2	6	71	71	33	7	22	12	21	7	20	29	8	42	C**-Cor
Chic	A	9	Υ	ε	2	-	0	4	ς	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	9	2	2	ς	6	ε	0	61	ek: 308 (
man bies	ш	0	-	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	2	ę	-	-	0	0	2	0	0	4	18	urrent we
Hul Ral	A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	for the c
Viral epatitis	Ξ	40	44	26	46	16	38	6	95	41	6	-	0	9	2	7	10	33	29	3	15	4	113	133	186	29	2	944	rovided
ŤŦ	A	0	4		0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0		-	0	9	-	-	17	ts data p
Typhus Fever	в	7	15	œ	88	20	69	104	61	51	598	24	42	11	6	9	0	24	41	61	25	4	103	116	36	29	0	1549	oorting uni
	A	0	0	0	2	0	-	с	2	-	9	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	ver of rep
spirosis	ю	255	293	388	112	84	59	253	95	165	17	13	10	14	24	45	26	31	141	42	256	87	119	159	497	165	20	3370	s 339 Numt
Lepto	A	പ	9	4	-	0	-	4	-	ę	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	3	0	0	0	-	7	2	0	41	ting units
od ning	ш	60	37	30	35	4	36	10	61	39	09	10	6	33	41	98	21	24	19	2	33	14	27	1	24	53	52	843	er of repor
Foc Poiso	A	-	ε	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	4	. 	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	otal numb
-ever	в	53	26	33	21	14	54	ω	പ	ω	79	36	23	92	18	44	0	12	4	6	6	12	12	4	26	32	5	636	er, 2016 To
Enteric I	A	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	2	0	-	0	0	2	0	-	0	0	0	0	10	1st Octobe
laliti	в	11	14	10	17		с	ω	. 	15	ω		4	4	4	с	2	2	11	5	ę	4	13		31	19	3	98	r before 2 e year.
Encept S	A	0	0	0	. —	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	CD). ived on o ses for th
tery	в	152	135	91	141	60	90	127	71	107	289	38	41	13	27	281	49	52	273	84	93	39	110	116	316	72	89	956	ses (WR urns recel ulative cas
Dysent	A	۰ د	س	7	0	0	2	. 2	-		10	0	0	0	1	പ	0	0	с С	£	. 	-	0		0	0	-	45 2	e Disea: fers to ret B = Cumi
ver	~	786	66	44	83	26	73	76	37	86	72	4	36	28	53	55	22	50	50	33	24	<u>0</u> 4	54	57	87	75	52	413	nunicabl eliness re nt week.
igue Fe		13	57	29	35	.6	M	20	6	10	18	~	÷	2:	1	4(5	30	21	:6	.9	4(8	ñ	25	12	4(44	of Comr ⁺T=Tim∈ the currer
Den	A	96	38	20	23	2	2	30	٢	21	20	0	-	2	-	7	0	0	ω	4	2	4	17	0	20	13	2	340	Returns ed during t
RDHS Division		Colombo	Gampaha	Kalutara	Kandy	Matale	NuwaraEliya	Galle	Hambantota	Matara	Jaffna	Kilinochchi	Mannar	Vavuniya	Mullaitivu	Batticaloa	Ampara	Trincomalee	Kurunegala	Puttalam	Anuradhapura	Polonnaruwa	Badulla	Monaragala	Ratnapura	Kegalle	Kalmune	SRILANKA	Source: Weekly A = Cases reports

Pag

WER Sri Lanka - Vol. 43 No. 44

Table 2: Vaccine-Preventable Diseases & AFP

22nd-28th October 2016 15th - 21st Oct 2016 (43rd Week)

Disease				No. of Ca	ses by l	Province	e		Number of cases during current	Number of cases during same	Total number of cases to	Total num- ber of cases to date in	Difference between the number of cases to date		
	W	С	S	N	E	NW	NC	U	Sab	week in 2016	week in 2015	2016	2015	in 2016 & 2015	
AFP*	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	01	02	58	61	-5.1%	
Diphtheria	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0%	
Mumps	01	01	00	00	01	00	00	00	00	03	02	333	324	+3.6%	
Measles	00	01	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	02	18	345	2382	-85.5%	
Rubella	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	09	08	+12.5	
CRS**	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0%	
Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	08	14	-43.1%	
Neonatal Teta- nus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0%	
Japanese En- cephalitis	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	00	01	00	16	10	+60%	
Whooping Cough	00	01	00	00	00	00	01	00	00	02	01	60	88	-32.1%	
Tuberculosis	87	11	19	11	07	11	11	13	50	220	253	7709	8220	-6.2%	

Key to Table 1 & 2

Provinces: W: Western, C: Central, S: Southern, N: North, E: East, NC: North Central, NW: North Western, U: Uva, Sab: Sabaragamuwa.

RDHS Divisions: CB: Colombo, GM: Gampaha, KL: Kalutara, KD: Kandy, ML: Matale, NE: Nuwara Eliya, GL: Galle, HB: Hambantota, MT: Matara, JF: Jaffna,

KN: Killinochchi, MN: Mannar, VA: Vavuniya, MU: Mullalitivu, BT: Batticaloa, AM: Ampara, TR: Trincomalee, KM: Kalmunai, KR: Kurunegala, PU: Puttalam, AP: Anuradhapura, PO: Polonnaruwa, BD: Badulla, MO: Moneragala, RP: Ratnapura, KG: Kegalle.

Data Sources:

Weekly Return of Communicable Diseases: Diphtheria, Measles, Tetanus, Neonatal Tetanus, Whooping Cough, Chickenpox, Meningitis, Mumps., Rubella, CRS, Special Surveillance: AFP* (Acute Flaccid Paralysis), Japanese Encephalitis

CRS** =Congenital Rubella Syndrome

AFP and all clinically confirmed Vaccine Preventable Diseases except Tuberculosis and Mumps should be investigated by the MOH

Dengue Prevention and Control Health Messages

Look for plants such as bamboo, bohemia, rampe and banana in your surroundings and maintain them

PRINTING OF THIS PUBLICATION IS FUNDED BY THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO).

Comments and contributions for publication in the WER Sri Lanka are welcome. However, the editor reserves the right to accept or reject items for publication. All correspondence should be mailed to The Editor, WER Sri Lanka, Epidemiological Unit, P.O. Box 1567, Colombo or sent by E-mail to chepid@sltnet.lk. **Prior approval should be obtained from the Epidemiology Unit before publishing data in this publication**

ON STATE SERVICE

Dr. P. PALIHAWADANA CHIEF EPIDEMIOLOGIST EPIDEMIOLOGY UNIT 231, DE SARAM PLACE COLOMBO 10