

WEEKLY EPIDEMIOLOGICAL REPORT

A publication of the Epidemiology Unit Ministry of Health, Nutrition & Indigenous Medicine

231, de Saram Place, Colombo 01000, Sri Lanka

Tele: + 94 11 2695112, Fax: +94 11 2696583, E mail: epidunit@sltnet.lk Epidemiologist: +94 11 2681548, E mail: chepid@sltnet.lk Web: http://www.epid.gov.lk

Vol. 43 No. 25

11th – 17th June 2016

Water Supply in Disaster Management (Part II)

This is the second and last of the series of articles on Water Supply in Disaster Management.



Priorities	for	water	

How each individual prioritizes these uses of water is influenced by many factors. It can depend on the role that each person plays in their respective families as well as their responsibilities. For example, women may use water for daily household activities like washing, cooking, cleaning etc. over other activities, while men will choose to give priority to agricultural activities. Another factor that affects prioritization is cultural beliefs and customs. However, proper prioritization is important specially in a disaster as it is usually the users, but not the providers decide how they will use a scarce supply of water. Therefore, the Sphere has suggested a basic survival level water requirement which can be used as a starting point for calculating demand.

Type of need	Quantity (L per day)	Comments
Survival (drinking and food)	2.5 to 3	Depends on cli- mate and individ- ual physiology
Basic hygiene practices	2 to 6	Depends on social and cultural norms
Basic cooking needs	3 to 6	Depends on food type, social and cultural norms

Table 2. Water requirement for survival per person

Water source and quality

Sources of water can be several. Quality of water coming through each source of water can also be different.

Each use of water does not require the same quality of water. Therefore, water should not be coming from the same source for different uses. This principle can be used in disaster management as well. For example, bottled water can be used for drinking while water from a stream is taken for washing clothes.

Accessibility to water

Specially in a disaster situation, accessibility to water can get compromised. Although relief agencies as well as other donors provide drinking water, provision of water for other uses like washing, cleaning is limited. There ore, it is im-

Contents	Page
1. Leading Article – Water Supply in Disaster Management - Part II 2. Summary of selected notifiable diseases reported -(04th – 10th June 2016) 3. Surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases & AFP -(04th – 10th June 2016)	1 3 4

portant that the affected population has easy access to some sort of water source.

Even if there is a water source which provides plenty of water, time taken to travel and queuing at the water source are limitations to collect water. Therefore, according to the Sphere, maximum distance from any household to a water point should ideally be 500 meters and the maximum waiting time to collect water should be 15 minutes. This is because of the fact that, if it takes more than 30 minutes to collect water, amount of water collected will be drastically reduced. It is found out that if the return trip travel time from the water source is less than 5 minutes, up to 50 liters of water per capita per day will be collected. However, if the return trip travel time exceeds 10 minutes, the quantity of water collected reduces up to 10-20 liters per capita per day.

On the other hand, by interventions like providing washing and laundry facilities near the water source, the need to transport water will be reduced. This will enhance proper utilization of water.

Step by step improvement of provision of water

In the initial stage of a disaster, it is difficult to meet all the water needs of the community. Therefore, initially, the basic survival needs of the community are fulfilled. With time as resources allow, other services can be provided gradually.

Time from initial intervention	Quantity of water (L/person/day)	Maximum distance from shelters to water points (km)
2 weeks to 1 month	5	1
1 to 3 months	10	1
3 to 6 months	15(+)	0.5

Table 3. Suggested quantities of water and distance of water points from shelters at different stages of an emergency response

Calculating water demand

Calculating the quantity of water required is of a difficulty due to several reasons. Most of the time, basic statistical information about the population is not available and the situation changes frequently. Therefore, a large number of assumptions have to be made in calculating the water requirement.

Usually, the staff involved in carrying out relief work is not included in the calculation. Water is only provided for the basic needs and in the initial period water for crops will not be provided. About 10% of water supplied is assumed to be wasted from leaks and spillage. This calculation also differs depending on the stage of the disaster.

However much accurate the calculation is, the demand can be much higher or lower than estimated because of which the providers should be flexible enough in supplying water.

Ensuring the desired outcome

It is important to ensure that, supply of water has a good impact on the affected population. For this purpose, the whole system of water supply has to be frequently evaluated and weak points have to be identified.

It is important to make sure that people have easy access to the site of provision of water and they have enough ways in which water can be transported and stored. If there are no adequate water containers to transport and store water, those will also have to be provided. The Sphere has set standards for provision of domestic water containers. According to that, there should be two vessels of 10-20 liters for collecting water plus one 20 liter vessel for water storage, (narrow necks and covers) per 5-person household.

It is also important to make sure that water is used in a way which will enhance and stabilize their health status . Along with that, there should also be adequate facilities to dispose used water. This is because providing more water to a place where there are no adequate facilities to dispose used water, will cause drainage problems which can ultimately lead to adverse health outcomes.

Sources

1. How much water is needed in emergencies available at http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/
publications/2011/tn9_how_much_water_en.pdf?ua=1

Compiled by Dr. S.A.I.K. Sudasinghe of the Epidemiology Unit

Table 1: Selected notifiable diseases reported by Medical Officers of Health 04th - 10th June 2016 (24th Week)

rable		JCIC	Cici	JII	וווו	abic		cas	0001	СРС		u b				JIIIC	,CI 3	UI	пеа	1111	U	4"	10	"' J(JIIC		0 (2	_4	
WRCD	ڻ	9	0	14	91	92	100	95	92	94	100	75	100	100	100	93	29	75	4	77	74	100	94	100	83	100	92	79	
M	<u>*</u>	9	0	0	13	0	54	0	17	88	67	25	20	50	20	7	0	25	14	∞	0	14	18	27	33	6	8	19	
Leishmani- asis	В	0	3	0	9	14	0	_	146	119	—	0	0	က	4	-	2	3	46	Τ-	100	74	2	20	-	0	0	550	
Leish asis	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	က	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
Meningitis	В	22	20	33	25	45	26	24	10	10	27	7	-	က	2	വ	_	7	30	25	20	11	100	16	73	26	13	585	
Men	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	4	
xodu	В	198	184	109	86	22	71	158	131	100	104	က	7	19	6	62	69	103	160	42	126	99	96	34	100	172	52	2286	
Chickenpox	⋖	1	0	0	0	0	—	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	က	0	0	0	0	10	
nan ies	В	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	10	
Human Rabies	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Viral Hepatitis	В	15	16	12	37	13	70	9	17	16	∞	0	0	9	0	6	9	30	16	0	7	2	74	95	76	14	7	501	
He	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Typhus Fever	В	3	7	4	55	12	44	45	35	25	526	17	37	∞	2	4	0	17	10	27	19	1	49	99	17	13	0	1076	
∠,"	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	7	
Leptospirosis	В	87	124	242	72	48	25	149	71	66	∞	11	∞	11	22	28	23	19	81	30	176	89	77	137	274	107	11	2008	
Leptc	⋖	-	0	0		0	က	0	-	9	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	—	0	0	0	2	0	7	0	0	23	
Food Poisoning	В	19	5	16	26	7	15	7	20	34	35	4	က	26	35	82	17	23	9	0	21	2	19	6	15	41	39	552	
Fc	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	
Fever	В	27	12	16	6	6	27	7	2	2	46	24	14	26	13	17	0	6	—	4	က	6	2	2	17	16	4	319	
Enteric Fever	∢	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	—	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
Encephaliti s	В	-	5	3	12	-	-	ω	-	9	ю	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	7	2	—	2	10	-	18	12	3	103	
Ence	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	က	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	က	CD)
Dysentery	В	59	33	37	89	21	20	36	23	55	107	23	10	9	12	138	16	28	117	26	32	14	09	29	176	37	38	1272	ases (WR
Dyse	⋖	0	0	0	—	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	-	0	—	0	0	4	0	0	0	—	0	12	0	0	22	ole Dise
Fever	В	9209	1983	1229	952	184	146	791	322	404	1264	46	06	149	66	296	86	267	884	572	279	190	291	164	1057	635	352	18823	mmunicab
Dengue Fever	۷	19	0	0	-	0	Ω	0	0	22	14	0	0	0	0	—	0	2	6		0	0	11	0	30	0	0	163	turns of Co
RDHS Division		Colombo	Gampaha	Kalutara	Kandy	Matale	NuwaraEliya	Galle	Hambantota	Matara	Jaffna	Kilinochchi	Mannar	Vavuniya	Mullaitivu	Batticaloa	Ampara	Trincomalee	Kurunegala	Puttalam	Anuradhapura	Polonnaruwa	Badulla	Monaragala	Ratnapura	Kegalle	Kalmune	SRILANKA	Source: Weekly Returns of Communicable, Diseases (WRCD).

·T=Timeliness refers to returns received on or before 10th June, 2016 Total number of reporting units 339 Number of reporting units data provided for the current week. 272 C**-Completeness A = Cases reported during the current week. B = Cumulative cases for the year.

Table 2: Vaccine-Preventable Diseases & AFP

04th - 10th June 2016 (24th Week)

Disease	No. of Cases by Province								Number of cases during current	Number of cases during same	Total number of cases to	Total num- ber of cases to date in	Difference between the number of	
	W	С	S	N	E	NW	NC	U	Sab	week in 2016	week in 2015	date in 2016	2015	cases to date in 2016 & 2015
AFP*	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	03	27	33	-18.1%
Diphtheria	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0%
Mumps	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	01	04	196	189	+4.1%
Measles	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	47	271	1169	-77.1%
Rubella	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	06	06	0%
CRS**	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0%
Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	02	03	09	-66.6%
Neonatal Teta- nus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0%
Japanese En- cephalitis	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	07	-100%
Whooping Cough	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	30	37	-19.1%
Tuberculosis	154	18	14	07	19	19	12	05	16	264	111	4386	4267	+3.1%

Key to Table 1 & 2

Provinces: W: Western, C: Central, S: Southern, N: North, E: East, NC: North Central, NW: North Western, U: Uva, Sab: Sabaragamuwa.

RDHS Divisions: CB: Colombo, GM: Gampaha, KL: Kalutara, KD: Kandy, ML: Matale, NE: Nuwara Eliya, GL: Galle, HB: Hambantota, MT: Matara, JF: Jaffna,

KN: Killinochchi, MN: Mannar, VA: Vavuniya, MU: Mullaitivu, BT: Batticaloa, AM: Ampara, TR: Trincomalee, KM: Kalmunai, KR: Kurunegala, PU: Puttalam,

AP: Anuradhapura, PO: Polonnaruwa, BD: Badulla, MO: Moneragala, RP: Ratnapura, KG: Kegalle.

Data Sources:

Weekly Return of Communicable Diseases: Diphtheria, Measles, Tetanus, Neonatal Tetanus, Whooping Cough, Chickenpox, Meningitis, Mumps., Rubella, CRS,

Special Surveillance: AFP* (Acute Flaccid Paralysis), Japanese Encephalitis

CRS** =Congenital Rubella Syndrome

AFP and all clinically confirmed Vaccine Preventable Diseases except Tuberculosis and Mumps should be investigated by the MOH

Dengue Prevention and Control Health Messages

Look for plants such as bamboo, bohemia, rampe and banana in your surroundings and maintain them

PRINTING OF THIS PUBLICATION IS FUNDED BY THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO).

Comments and contributions for publication in the WER Sri Lanka are welcome. However, the editor reserves the right to accept or reject items for publication. All correspondence should be mailed to The Editor, WER Sri Lanka, Epidemiological Unit, P.O. Box 1567, Colombo or sent by E-mail to chepid@sltnet.lk. **Prior approval should be obtained from the Epidemiology Unit before publishing data in this publication**

ON STATE SERVICE