

WEEKLY EPIDEMIOLOGICAL REPORT

A publication of the Epidemiology Unit Ministry of Health

231, de Saram Place, Colombo 01000, Sri Lanka Tele: + 94 11 2695112, Fax: +94 11 2696583, E mail: epidunit@sltnet.lk Epidemiologist: +94 11 2681548, E mail: chepid@sltnet.lk Web: http://www.epid.gov.lk

Vol. 41 No. 35

23rd – 29th August 2014

Emergency Risk Management for Health (Part I)

This is the first in series of two articles on Emergency Risk Management for Health

Emergencies and disasters often result in significant impacts on people's health, including the loss of many lives. Every new threat reveals the challenges for man-aging health risks and effects of emergencies and disasters. Deaths, injuries, diseases, disabilities, psychosocial problems and other health impacts can be avoided or reduced by emergency risk management measures involving health and other sectors.

Emergency risk management for health is multisectoral and refers to: the systematic analysis and management of health risks, posed by emergencies and disasters, through a combination of

I.Hazard and vulnerability reduction to prevent and mitigate risks,

- II. Preparedness,
- III. Response and
- IV.Recovery measures

The traditional focus of the health sector has been on the response to emergencies. The ongoing challenge is to broaden the focus of emergency risk management for health from that of response and recovery to a more proactive approach which emphasizes prevention and mitigation, and the development of community and country capacities to provide timely and effective response and recovery. Resilient health systems based on primary health care at community level can reduce underlying vulnerability, protect health facilities and services, and scale-up the response to meet the wide ranging health needs in disasters.

Advocating for emergency risk management for health

These advocacy materials are an introduction for health workers engaged in emergency risk management and for multi-sectoral partners to consider how to integrate health into their emergency risk management strategies.

This overview places emergency risk management for health in the context of multi-sectoral action and focuses on the generic elements of emergency risk management, including potential hazards, vulnerabilities of a population, and capacities, which apply across the various health domains.

The accompanying fact sheets identify key points for consideration within a number of essential health domains. However, importantly, all health domains are interlinked; each fact sheet should therefore be considered as part of the entire set and in conjunction with the overview.

Why is there a need for emergency risk management for health?

Natural, biological, technological and societal hazards put the health of vulnerable populations at risk and bear the potential to cause significant harm to public health. Examples of these hazards are as follows:

- Natural: earthquake, landslide, tsunami, cyclones, flood or drought.
- Biological: epidemic disease, infestations of pests.
- Technological: chemical substance, radiological agents, transport crashes.
- Societal: conflict, stampedes, acts of terrorism.

Emergencies, disasters and other crises may cause ill-health directly or through the disruption of health systems, facilities and services, leaving many without access to health care in times of emergency. They also affect basic infrastructure

Contents	Page
1. Leading Article – Emergency Risk Management for Health (part I)	1
2. Summary of selected notifiable diseases reported - (16th – 22ml August 2014)	3
3. Surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases & AFP - (16 th − 22 ^{mt} August 2014)	4
	l

such as water supplies and safe shelter, which are essential for health

International consensus views emergencies as barriers to progress on the health-related Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), as they often set back hard earned development gains in health and other sectors.

Natural Hazards

In the last year, in excess of 700 emergencies arising from natural hazards affected more than 200 million people. A comparative analysis of emergency statistics in Latin America found that for each disaster listed in global disaster databases, there are some 20 other disasters with destructive impact on local communities that are not recorded.

In Latin America, the cumulative effect of ten years of local emergencies were found to have had a greater impact on the poor than any one-off event. The incidence of emergencies arising from natural hazards has been increasing and the impact of climate change will increase the risk for millions of individuals, their homes, their communities, and the infrastructure that supports them.

Biological Hazards

During the last few decades, biological emergencies have assumed an increasing importance: major outbreaks related to new and re-emerging infectious diseases such as SARS, influenza (H1N1 and H5N1) and cholera.

Technological Hazards

The international disaster database (EM-DAT) recorded more than 1,500 people from technological disasters killed which also affected more than 17,000 individuals.

Societal Hazards

Complex emergencies, including conflict, continue to affect tens of millions of people, causing displacement of people both inside and across borders. In 2012 there were an estimated total of 20 million persons who remained internally displaced by armed conflict across the world.

Country capacities and needs

Progress has been made at global, regional, national and community levels, but the capacity of countries for risk reduction, emergency preparedness, response and recovery remains extremely variable. The 2007 WHO global assessment found that less than 50% of national health sectors had a specified budget for emergency preparedness and response. Factors affecting capacity include:

- · Weak health and disaster management systems.
- Lack of access to resources and know-how.
- Continuing insecurity due to conflict.

But a number of high-risk countries have strengthened their disaster prevention, preparedness and response systems; in some countries, the health sector has led initiatives developing multi-sectoral approaches to emergency and disaster risk management.

Emergency risk management for health in context

Sustainable development

Emergency risk management has emerged as a core element of sustainable development and an essential part of a safer world in the twenty-first century. Reducing risk is a long-term development process, managed by communities and individuals working together.

Health Systems

Health care systems provide core capacities for emergency risk management for health. Some countries affected by emergencies have limited basic health services and infrastructure, which in itself hugely compounds the challenges of disaster response. Countries with well-developed systems are often much more resilient and better prepared for disasters.

Primary health care (PHC) focuses on basic services to improve health status, which in turn builds community resilience and provides the foundation for responding to emergencies. Policies and strategies focusing on PHC can contribute to decreasing vulnerability and preparing households, communities and health systems for emergencies. Following an emergency, focus is often given to acute care needs and specialist interventions; whilst important, it is usually chronic and pre - existing conditions that prove the largest burden of disease.

Community-based actions are at the front line of protecting health in emergencies because:

- Local knowledge of local risks is used to address the actual needs of the community.
- Local actions prevent risks at the source, by avoiding exposure to local hazards.
- A prepared, active and well-organized community can reduce risks and the impact of emergencies.
- Many lives can be saved in the first hours after an emergency through community response before external help arrives.

Hospitals and health infrastructure

Health systems are composed of public, private and nongovernmental facilities which work together to serve the community; these include hospitals, primary health care centres, laboratories, pharmacies and blood banks. Safe hospitals programmes ensure health facilities are safely built to withstand hazards, remaining operational in emergencies.

Source

Emergency Risk Management for Health Fact Sheet (WHO-Global Platform- May 2011) available at http://www.who.int/hac/events/drm_fact_sheet_overview.pdf

Compiled by Dr. H. A. Shanika Rasanjalee of the Epidemiology Unit

Table 1: Selected notifiable diseases reported by Medical Officers of Health 16th - 22nd Aug 2014 (34th Week)

Table	1:	Se	lect	ea i	10ti	fiabl	e a	sea	ses	rep	ort	ea t	y IV	leai	cai	Off	icer	S 01	не	aitn	1	լ Ե ւու	- 22	10 A	ug	201	4 (3	4 m	we
WRCD	*	25	20	15	•	31	23	92	17	0	0	20	70	22	0	14	43	33	77	38	56	29	18	0	11	6	31	23	
M M	*	75	80	82	100	69	7	Ŋ	83	100	100	20	80	75	100	86	22	67	78	62	74	71	82	100	89	91	69	77	
Leishmani- asis	<u>_</u>	က	7	0	4	56	0	က	239	62	1	6	7	7	7	0	œ	4	101	9	277	97	0	25	21	7	0	901	
Leish	⋖	0	0	0	н	н	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		4	0	70	7	0	н	0	П	0	45	
gitis	<u> </u>	45	46	26	70	40	22	78	34	25	27	9	9	13	2	9	œ	12	61	18	40	70	88	16	32	53	9	730	
Meningitis	⋖	7	2	Н	П	0	0	0	Н	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	н	7	0	4	П	П	7	0	24	
xodu	<u>в</u>	319	229	179	148	41	91	319	113	140	103	14	10	10	2	42	74	80	311	29	173	122	54	09	155	195	83	3137	
Chickenpox	4	7	0	7	7	н	0	0	2	1	1	0		7	0	0	7	7	9	0	9	0	0	н	н	3	1	4	
ian	В	0	2	H	0	н	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	⊣	0	П	က	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	16	
Human Rabies	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Viral Hepatitis	m	32	162	6	106	111	24	72	11	56	8	0	П	2	0	7	4	7	33	κ	6	9	107	95	307	138	0	1211	
- 포	⋖	н	∺	0	∞	н	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	н	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	6	38	0	65	
Typhus Fever	a	2	6	2	65	7	23	99	23	37	265	17	24	2	11	2	12	18	37	70	27	2	73	124	74	46	0	1049	
Typhu	⋖	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	↔	0	0	0	0	т	0	0	0	0	т	æ	1	1	0	70	
Leptospirosi s	a	94	141	174	33	30	13	122	29	53	7	п	4	6	œ	14	15	16	69	26	9/	22	41	09	246	117	1	1522	
Lepti	⋖	П	2	4	П	н	П	0	0	П	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	П	0	0	0	н	0	5	κ	0	24	
Food Poisoning	В	169	20	57	14	16	89	32	12	18	52	0	6	18	17	29	œ	2	20	10	28	0	9	33	25	34	89	268	
Poi	⋖	0	0	0	m	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
Enteric Fever	a	69	59	33	18	14	15	2	10	21	161	20	33	22	6	22	2	2	16	11	m	9	10	9	21	59	2	592	
무교	⋖	κ	7	↔	0	0	0	0	0	0	ε	0	0	н	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	유	
Encephaliti s	<u>m</u>	6	6	9	4	7	ω	4	4	4	9		9	н	0	2	н	н	23	7	ω	4	6	4	19	6	н	141	
Ence	⋖	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	н	0	П	0	m	(CD):
Dysentery	В	97	102	124	71	6	188	8/	33	73	321	89	29	56	4	195	45	33	97	51	102	31	96	41	177	87	87	2345	ases (WR
Dys	⋖	н	0	4	0	0	н	0	0	2	15	0	0	0	0	3	0	7	4	0	4	7	7	н	0	7	П	4	le Dise
Dengue Fever	æ	9926	4769	1893	1024	320	212	269	439	371	776	42	46	100	80	646	118	484	1347	461	387	382	4	195	2211	1187	117	28511	ommunicab
Dengr	⋖	161	146	43	48	4	11	0	т	17	27	0	т	н	7	6	ю	0	48	7	4	7	16	∞	45	34	8	642	turns of C
RDHS Division		Colombo	Gampaha	Kalutara	Kandy	Matale	NuwaraEliya	Galle	Hambantota	Matara	Jaffna	Kilinochchi	Mannar	Vavuniya	Mullaitivu	Batticaloa	Ampara	Trincomalee	Kurunegala	Puttalam	Anuradhapura	Polonnaruwa	Badulla	Monaragala	Ratnapura	Kegalle	Kalmune	SRILANKA	Source: Weekly Returns of Communicable Diseases (WRCD).

*T=Timeliness refers to returns received on or before 22nd August , 2014 Total number of reporting units 337 Number of reporting units data provided for the current week: 263C**-Completeness Reported during the current week. B = Cumulative cases for the year.

Page 3

Table 2: Vaccine-Preventable Diseases & AFP

16th - 22nd Aug 2014 (34th Week)

Disease			N	lo. of Cas	es by P	rovince		Number of cases during current	Number of cases during same	Total number of cases to date in	Total num- ber of cases to date in	Difference between the number of cases to date			
'	W	С	S	N	E	NW	NC	U	Sab	week in 2014	week in 2013	2014	2013	in 2013& 2014	
AFP*	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	02	02	00	58	58	0%	
Diphtheria	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	-	00	-	%	
Mumps	01	04	02	00	01	00	01	00	02	11	14	491	1081	-54.6%	
Measles	16	03	04	01	01	04	00	02	04	35	114	2490	2335	+6.6%	
Rubella	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	14	21	-33.3%	
CRS**	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	04	06	-33.3%	
Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	10	13	-23.1%	
Neonatal Teta- nus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	%	
Japanese En- cephalitis	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	21	65	-67.7%	
Whooping Cough	01	02	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	04	03	38	41	-7.3%	
Tuberculosis	70	17	65	00	22	16	00	05	12	207	91	6345	5638	+12.6%	

Key to Table 1 & 2

Provinces: W: Western, C: Central, S: Southern, N: North, E: East, NC: North Central, NW: North Western, U: Uva, Sab: Sabaragamuwa.

RDHS Divisions: CB: Colombo, GM: Gampaha, KL: Kalutara, KD: Kandy, ML: Matale, NE: Nuwara Eliya, GL: Galle, HB: Hambantota, MT: Matara, JF: Jaffna,

KN: Killinochchi, MN: Mannar, VA: Vavuniya, MU: Mullaitivu, BT: Batticaloa, AM: Ampara, TR: Trincomalee, KM: Kalmunai, KR: Kurunegala, PU: Puttalam,

AP: Anuradhapura, PO: Polonnaruwa, BD: Badulla, MO: Moneragala, RP: Ratnapura, KG: Kegalle.

Data Sources:

Weekly Return of Communicable Diseases: Diphtheria, Measles, Tetanus, Neonatal Tetanus, Whooping Cough, Chickenpox, Meningitis, Mumps., Rubella, CRS,

Special Surveillance: AFP* (Acute Flaccid Paralysis), Japanese Encephalitis

CRS** =Congenital Rubella Syndrome

AFP and all clinically confirmed Vaccine Preventable Diseases except Tuberculosis and Mumps should be investigated by the MOH

Dengue Prevention and Control Health Messages

Look for plants such as bamboo, bohemia, rampe and banana in your surroundings and maintain them

PRINTING OF THIS PUBLICATION IS FUNDED BY THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO).

Comments and contributions for publication in the WER Sri Lanka are welcome. However, the editor reserves the right to accept or reject items for publication. All correspondence should be mailed to The Editor, WER Sri Lanka, Epidemiological Unit, P.O. Box 1567, Colombo or sent by E-mail to chepid@sltnet.lk. Prior approval should be obtained from the Epidemiology Unit before publishing data in this publication

ON STATE SERVICE