

WEEKLY EPIDEMIOLOGICAL REPORT

A publication of the Epidemiology Unit Ministry of Health

231, de Saram Place, Colombo 01000, Sri Lanka Tele: + 94 11 2695112, Fax: +94 11 2696583, E mail: epidunit@sltnet.lk Epidemiologist: +94 11 2681548, E mail: chepid@sltnet.lk Web: http://www.epid.gov.lk

Vol. 40 No.43

19th - 25th October 2013

Enteric Fever (Part I)

This is the first in a series of two articles on Enteric Fever

Background

Enteric fever is a systemic infection caused by the human-adapted pathogens Salmonella enterica serotype Typhi (S. Typhi) and S. enterica serotpe Paratyphi (S. Paratyphi) A, B and C. These organisms are important causes of febrile illness in crowded populations with inadequate sanitation that are exposed to unsafe water and food and also pose a risk to travelers visiting Enteric fever endemic regions.

Epidemiology

Burden of illness and death

In 2000, typhoid fever caused an estimated 21.7 million illnesses and 217,000 deaths, and paratyphoid fever caused an estimated 5.4 million illnesses worldwide. Infants, children, and adolescents in south-central and South-

eastern Asia experience the greatest burden of illness. Typhoid and paratyphoid fever most often present as clinically similar acute febrile illnesses and accurate diagnosis relies on laboratory confirmation. Bone marrow culture remains the gold standard diagnostic test for enteric fever. Efforts to develop serologic methods for the diagnosis of typhoid fever that improve on the poor performance of the Widal test still suffer from substantial limitations of both sensitivity and specificity. Serological approaches to the diagnosis of S. Paratyphi A, B, and C have been developed but have not been evaluated or adapted for field use. Consequently, blood culture, a less sensitive method than bone marrow culture, is often the practical first choice test for both patient diagnosis and epidemiologic evaluation of S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi burden. However, most enteric fever occurs in low and middle-income countries where blood cultures are often unavailable, unaffordable or inconsistently applied. The

most robust approach to the measurement of incidence of typhoid and paratyphoid fever is by regular, community-wide household visits to identify persons with febrile illness from whom blood samples for culture confirmation may be obtained. Alternatively, the results of surveys of health-seeking behaviour and sentinel health care facility-based surveillance may be combined to estimate incidence. Because of the limited availability of blood culture services and the logistic challenges of enteric fever surveillance techniques capable of measuring disease incidence, the burden of typhoid and paratyphoid fever is poorly characterized in much of the world, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. Furthermore, accurate estimates of rates of complications and death at the population level are not available. To reduce gaps in the current understanding of typhoid fever incidence, complications and case-fatality rate, large population-based studies using blood culture confirmation of cases are needed in representative sites, especially in low and medium human development index countries outside Asia.

Epidemiologic trends

Despite the limitations of currently available epidemiologic data, a number of recent trends in enteric disease epidemiology have emerged in the African, Asian and Latin American regions. In sub-Saharan Africa, where the burden of enteric fever is the least well characterized, hospital-based studies indicate that non-Typhi serotypes of Salmonella, particularly S. enterica serotype Enteritidis and S. enterica serotype Typhimurium, greatly outnumber S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi as causes of bloodstream infection. Nonetheless, outbreaks of typhoid fever are frequently reported from sub-Saharan Africa, often with large numbers of patients presenting with intestinal perforations leaving open important questions about the epidemiology of enteric fever in the region. In Asia, a large



	Contents	Page
ľ	1. Leading Article – Enteric Fever (Part I)	1
ı	2. Surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases & AFP (12 th − 18 th October 2013)	3
ı	3. Summary of newly introduced notifiable diseases (12th – 18th October 2013)	3
ı	4. Summary of selected notifiable diseases reported (12th – 18thOctober 2013)	4
ı		n

population-based prospective study using standardized surveillance methods estimated typhoid fever incidence in China, India, Indonesia, Pakistan and Vietnam to gather data for typhoid fever vaccine policy. This study confirmed the high incidence of typhoid fever in the region, particularly among children and adolescents, but also demonstrated that substantial variation in incidence occurs between surveillance sites in the same region. Simultaneously, S. Paratyphi A was responsible for a growing proportion of enteric fever in a number of Asian countries, sometimes accounting for 50% of Salmonella bloodstream isolates among patients with enteric fever. This trend raises important concerns about the impact of typhoid fever vaccine on enteric fever rates.

Prevention and Control Strategies

Contaminated water and food are important vehicles for transmission of typhoid fever. Historical surveillance data suggest that enteric fever was endemic in Western Europe and North America and this rate decreased in parallel with the introduction of water treatment facilities to municipal water supply schemes, pasteurization of dairy products and the exclusion of human faeces from food production. At present, enteric fever prevention focuses on improving sanitation, ensuring the safety of food and water supplies, identification and treatment of chronic carriers of S. Typhi and use of typhoid vaccines to reduce the susceptibility of hosts to infection.

Non-vaccine measures

Extending the benefits of improved sanitation and the availability of safe water and food that was achieved in industrialized countries a century ago to low and middle-income countries has proved to be a challenge. United Nations Millennium Development Goal 7 sets a target to halve, by 2015, the proportion of the population without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation. Recent evidence suggests that interventions to improve the quality of drinking water may be relatively more important for the prevention of enteric infection relative to sanitation measures than was previously thought. Although centrally treated reticulated water for all is an important goal, a growing body of research suggests that improving water quality at the household level, as well as at the source, can significantly reduce diarrhoea. Although not formally evaluated with enteric fever as an outcome, it is likely that interventions that reduce the rate of diarrhoeal diseases transmitted through contaminated water, food and poor hygiene would have similar effects on rates of enteric fever.

The identification and treatment of S. Typhi carriers, particularly those involved with food production, has proven to be an important strategy for the control of typhoid fever in low-incidence settings.

Source - Global Trends in Typhoid and Paratyphoid Fever

<u>Available from http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/</u> content/50/2/241.full

Compiled by

Dr. Madhava Gunasekera of the Epidemiology Unit

Invasive Bacterial Disease surveillance in Sentinel Sites-3rdquarter 2013

No. of suspected meningitis cases	26
No. of probable meningitis cases	4
Percentage (%) of CSF samples tested positive for organisms	0%
No. of children who met the pneumonia case definition	117
Percentage (%) of Pneumonia cases with positive blood cultures	0%
No. of sepsis cases	31
Percentage (%) of Sepsis cases with positive blood cultures	0%
Source-LRH, Epidemiology Unit	

Rota virus surveillance in Sentinel Sites – 3rd quarter 2013 Number of acute diarrhoea hospitalizations in children <5 years 362 Number of stool specimen collected 135 Number of stool specimen tested positive for rotavirus 42 Percentage (%) of stool specimen tested positive for rotavirus 33 % Source-MRI, Epidemiology Unit

Table 3: Water Quality Surveillance Number of microbiological water samples - Sept / 2013

District	MOH areas	No: Expected *	No: Received
Colombo	12	72	12
Gampaha	15	90	34
Kalutara	12	72	NR
Kalutara NISH	2	12	19
Kandy	23	138	NR
Matale	12	72	36
Nuwara Eliya	13	78	03
Galle	19	114	71
Matara	17	102	NR
Hambantota	12	72	55
Jaffna	11	66	47
Kilinochchi	4	24	88
Manner	5	30	28
Vavuniya	4	24	33
Mullatvu	4	24	18
Batticaloa	14	84	55
Ampara	7	42	NR
Trincomalee	11	66	19
Kurunegala	23	138	NR
Puttalam	9	84	7
Anuradhapura	19	114	43
Polonnaruwa	7	42	27
Badulla	15	90	53
Moneragala	11	66	70
Rathnapura	18	108	NR
Kegalle	11	66	29
Kalmunai	13	78	NR
Kalmunai			NR

* No of samples expected (6 / MOH area / Month)

NR = Return not received

Page 2 to be continued

Table 4: Selected notifiable diseases reported by Medical Officers of Health

12th - 18th October (42nd Week)

%	*	38	23	15	56	38	15	16	33	9	25	20	20	0	20	36	22	33	22	38	32	14	18	27	28	0	62	28
WRCD %	*	62 3	47 5	85 1	74 2	62 3	85 1	84	67 3	94	75 2	20 2	80	100	80	64 3	43 5	67 3	78	62 3	89	86 1	82 1	73 2	72 2	100	38 6	72 2
_	_	9	4	&	_	9	∞	σ.		0	<u> </u>	LO.	, w	Ä	8	9	4	9	<u> </u>	9	_		8	_	7	1(m	
Leishmani-	8	0	2	0	4	11	0	0	279	83	0	11	4	10	14	0	m	28	48	6	368	148	7	10	13	2	1	1058
Leish	۷	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	임	т	0	0	0	0	0	24
jitis	•	28	83	64	14	34	12	45	46	70	55	7	72	33	9	7	18	4	95	32	95	17	64	23	9/	66	6	1068
Meningitis	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	П	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	п	0	7	0	2	0	1	0	0	11
	8	371	149	232	121	43	107	586	95	237	137	2	=======================================	22	æ	41	81	37	323	78	159	121	116	48	156	291	83	335
Chickenpox	⋖	9	7	3		0	0	9	3	2	2	0	0	0	0		0	0	т	0	0	0	т	0	4	7	0	48
ies	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7		2	0	2	7	ж	0			1	7	2	0		1	0	0	24
H Rabies	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00
atitis	8	71	167	24	104	46	22	14	84	138	17	0	2	8	п	14	ø	3	52	7	25	29	44	159	437	208	5	1684
V Hepatitis	4	0	0	1	9	1	2		0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	m	17	9	0	39 1
ver	8	7	18	2	94	4	28	53	62	80	331	16	19	2	7	2		15	41	12	23	3	81	57	65	71	2	1130
T Fever	⋖	0	0	0	0	0			0	2	7	0	0	1	—	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	19 1
oirosi	8	181	347	351	89	58	56	194	160	136	_∞	6	14	20	37	33	33	29	286	42	303	160	54	196	320	202	6	3336
Leptospirosi	4	1	2	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	н	0	н	2	0	0	5	7	1	32 3
	8	53	31	23	10	8	217	68	32	27	96	2	36	20	43	73	10	m	56	36	29	64	#	25	17	11	117	1142
F Poisoning	<	0	0	0	0	1	0	∞	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	2		0	0	0	0	31 1
	8	129	46	74	25	24	14	9	15	28	302	14	62	12	6	10	2	9	38	16	ω	14	18	23	38	28	3	962
E Fever	⋖	1 1	7 0	3	0	0		0	0	0	3	0	8	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0 2	0	13 9
halit	8	17	18	20	11	4	2	19	3	13	10	0	٣	13	2	2		3	36	7	16	2	2	4	83	16	2	10
Encephalit	4	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	04
tery	8	173	175	156	134	84	142	103	52	74	346	35	72	55	19	278	153	09	159	74	100	74	179	106	340	114	138	3395
Dysentery	4	1	2	2	0	0	1	<u>س</u>	1	e e	18	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	п	2	4	m	2		9	3	0	89
ever	8	898/	3023	1502	1528	404	217	51	283	409	603	28	65	65	112	202	170	183	2524	908	465	396	450	222	1575	985	492	25663
Dengue Fever					\vdash	4(2:	751	78	4)9	2	9	9	H	2(17	13	\vdash	8	4	3.	4,	77	15		4	0 250
Der	4	48	40	22	11	4	2	c	0	m	6	1	0	1	2	3	0	0	15	2	7	2	2	2	4	16	0	200
RDHS		oqı	aha	17.0		a)	NuwaraEliya		Hambantota	В		chchi	ar	ıiya	tivu	aloa	<u> </u>	Trincomalee	Kurunegala	am	Anuradhapur	Polonnaruwa	<u>a</u>	Monaragala	pura	le	ıne	SRI LANKA 200 25663 68 3395 04 31
R		Colombo	Gampaha	Kalutara	Kandy	Matale	Nuwa	Galle	Hamb	Matara	Jaffna	Kilinochchi	Mannar	Vavuniya	Mullaitivu	Batticaloa	Ampara	Trinco	Kurun	Puttalam	Anura	Polon	Badulla	Monal	Ratnapura	Kegalle	Kalmune	SRI
																												Pa

Source: Weekly Returns of Communicable Diseases (WRCD).
*T=Timeliness refers to returns received on or before 18th October, 2013 Total number of reporting units 339. Number of reporting units data provided for the current week: 244 C** Completeness
A = Cases reported during the current week. B = Cumulative cases for the year. H Rabies*= Human Rabies, E Fever*=Enteric Fever, F Poison* = Food Poisoning, T Fever*=Typhus Fever, V Hepatitis*=Viral Hepatitis

Table 1: Vaccine-Preventable Diseases & AFP

12th - 18th October 2013 (42ndWeek)

Disease			N	lo. of Cas	es by P	rovince			Number of cases during current	Number of cases during same	Total number of cases to date in	Total num- ber of cases to date in	Difference between the number of cases to date	
	W	С	S	N	E	NW	NC	U	Sab	week in 2013	week in 2012	2013	2012	in 2013 & 2012
AFP*	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	01	01	76	65	+ 16.9%
Diphtheria	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	-	-	-	-	-
Mumps	01	01	02	00	02	04	00	00	02	12	33	1274	3904	- 67.3 %
Measles	09	01	08	00	00	01	03	01	07	30	01	3215	52	+ 6082.7 %
Rubella	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	ı	26	ı	-
CRS**	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	-	06	-	-
Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	19	10	+ 90.0 %
Neonatal Teta- nus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	-	00	-	-
Japanese En- cephalitis	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	-	68	-	-
Whooping Cough	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	70	87	- 19.5 %
Tuberculosis	02	00	02	05	00	06	05	00	00	20	327	6617	7150	- 04.5 %

Key to Table 1 & 2

Provinces: W: Western, C: Central, S: Southern, N: North, E: East, NC: North Central, NW: North Western, U: Uva, Sab: Sabaragamuwa.

RDHS Divisions: CB: Colombo, GM: Gampaha, KL: Kalutara, KD: Kandy, ML: Matale, NE: Nuwara Eliya, GL: Galle, HB: Hambantota, MT: Matara, JF: Jaffna,

KN: Killinochchi, MN: Mannar, VA: Vavuniya, MU: Mullaitivu, BT: Batticaloa, AM: Ampara, TR: Trincomalee, KM: Kalmunai, KR: Kurunegala, PU: Puttalam,

AP: Anuradhapura, PO: Polonnaruwa, BD: Badulla, MO: Moneragala, RP: Ratnapura, KG: Kegalle.

Data Sources:

Weekly Return of Communicable Diseases: Diphtheria, Measles, Tetanus, Neonatal Tetanus, Whooping Cough, Chickenpox, Meningitis, Mumps., Rubella, CRS,

Special Surveillance: AFP* (Acute Flaccid Paralysis), Japanese Encephalitis

CRS** =Congenital Rubella Syndrome

AFP and all clinically confirmed Vaccine Preventable Diseases except Tuberculosis and Mumps should be investigated by the MOH

Influenza Surveillance in Sentinel Hospitals - ILI & SARI													
D. C. and L.	Human			Animal									
Month	No Received	Infl A untyped	Infl B	A(H1N1)pdm09	A(H3N2)	Pooled samples	Serum Samples	Positives					
September	233	9	9	26	8	190	460	0					

Source: Medical Research Institute & Veterinary Research Institute

PRINTING OF THIS PUBLICATION IS FUNDED BY THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO).

Comments and contributions for publication in the WER Sri Lanka are welcome. However, the editor reserves the right to accept or reject items for publication. All correspondence should be mailed to The Editor, WER Sri Lanka, Epidemiological Unit, P.O. Box 1567, Colombo or sent by E-mail to chepid@sltnet.lk. Prior approval should be obtained from the Epidemiology Unit before publishing data in this publication

ON STATE SERVICE