

# WEEKLY EPIDEMIOLOGICAL REPORT

# A publication of the Epidemiology Unit Ministry of Health & Mass Media

231, de Saram Place, Colombo 01000, Sri Lanka
Tele: + 94 11 2695112, Fax: +94 11 2696583, E mail: epidunit@sltnet.lk
Epidemiologist: +94 11 2681548, E mail: chepid@sltnet.lk
Web: http://www.epid.gov.lk

# Vol. 52 No. 23

31st May - 06th June 2025

Ensuring High-Quality Data for Disease Surveillance: A Foundation for Effective Public Health Action

Accurate, timely, and reliable data are the cornerstone of evidence-based decision-making in public health. Sri Lanka's newest disease surveillance system, EPINET, based on the DHIS2 platform, serves as a critical system for collecting, managing, and analysing data from across the health sector. However, the true value of this information depends on its quality. Incomplete, inaccurate, or delayed reporting can lead to gaps in disease tracking, misallocation of resources, and delays in outbreak response. This article highlights the essential principles of data quality, common challenges encountered during data entry, and practical steps that users can take to ensure high standards in reporting.

# **Understanding the Dimensions of Data Quality**

Data quality is assessed through several key dimensions. The DHIS2 platform contains inbuilt functionality to ensure data quality across these dimensions through customisation.

- 1. Accuracy Data must reflect the true situation on the ground. Misclassification of diseases, incorrect numbers, or misinterpretation of case definitions can compromise analysis and reporting.
- Completeness All required fields and datasets should be filled in fully. Missing values reduce the reliability of reports and limit the effectiveness of public health interventions.
- Timeliness Data should be submitted according to the reporting schedule. Late entries can delay outbreak alerts and hinder preventive action.
- 4. **Consistency** Information should remain uniform across periods and between different reporting sources.
- 5. **Validity** Entries must meet the logical rules defined by DHIS2.

Each of these dimensions plays a role in ensuring that decision-makers have a clear and reliable picture of public health trends.

## **Common Data Quality Challenges**

Experience from health information system implementations shows that certain issues occur repeatedly during data collection and entry:

- **Incomplete reporting** Leaving fields blank, particularly in case-based surveillance, limits the usefulness of datasets.
- Misclassification of diseases Using the wrong diagnosis code or selecting a similar condition by mistake can distort epidemiological statistics.
- **Delayed submission** Failing to meet reporting deadlines reduces the value of the data for real-time monitoring.
- Inconsistent reporting patterns Large fluctuations in reported numbers that are not supported by outbreak evidence may indicate recording errors.
- **Duplicate entries** Entering the same case more than once artificially inflates numbers and misleads analysis.

Addressing these challenges requires both system-level measures and good practices by individual users.

## **Good Practices for Data Entry in EPINET**

High-quality data entry begins at the point of collection. Whether entering data into the Weekly Returns of Communicable Diseases (WRCD) module, the H411a form, or any other EPINET dataset, the following principles should be followed:

- 1. **Verify source documents before entry** Double-check the paper-based records or source data against the case definitions.
- 2. Use the correct data element or diagnosis code Always refer to the current case definition guidelines and ICD coding standards to avoid misclassification.



- Ensuring High-Quality Data for Disease Surveillance: A Foundation for Effective Public Health Action
- 2. Summary of selected notifiable diseases reported (24th May 30th May 2025)
- 3. Surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases & AFP (24th May 30th May 2025)

3 4

- 3. **Review before submission** Use the DHIS2 "Validation" function to detect logical errors (e.g., more deaths than cases).
- 4. **Submit on time** Adhere to the national reporting schedule to ensure timely analysis and action.
- 5. **Avoid duplicate entry** Check whether the case has already been recorded in the system before adding it again.
- 6. **Report according to the assigned catchment area** Ensure that the cases entered correspond to the relevant PHI, MOH, or hospital jurisdiction.

By making these steps routine, data providers can significantly reduce errors and strengthen the quality of national health information.

# **Data Quality Monitoring in DHIS2**

DHIS2 provides several tools for monitoring and improving data quality:

- **Data Quality App** Allows users to run validation rules, identify outliers, and detect inconsistencies in datasets.
- Completeness and timeliness reports Summarise reporting rates by facility or district, highlighting areas where submission is delayed or incomplete.
- Validation rules Built-in logic checks that flag impossible or illogical values for correction before finalisation.
- **Outlier analysis** Identifies unusual values compared to previous reporting periods, prompting follow-up investigation.

These tools are most effective when used regularly by both field-level staff and supervisors.

### The Role of Supervisors and Feedback

Sustaining high data quality requires active supervision and feedback. Supervisors should:

- Review reports promptly after submission.
- Provide constructive feedback to staff when errors are detected.
- Encourage peer learning by sharing examples of good reporting practices.
- Use regular review meetings to highlight trends in completeness, timeliness, and accuracy.

A feedback culture not only improves data but also increases staff engagement and ownership of the reporting process.

# Why Data Quality Matters for Public Health

Accurate data is essential for:

- Detecting and responding to outbreaks rapidly.
- Monitoring the impact of public health interventions.
- Allocating resources efficiently.
- Supporting research and policy development.

When data is unreliable, these functions are weakened, leading to delayed or ineffective responses. In the context of infectious disease surveillance, poor data quality can result in missed outbreaks, underestimation of disease burden, and misdirection of limited resources.

#### **Conclusion:**

The quality of EPINET data is a shared responsibility across all levels of the health system. The DHIS2 platform provides functionality to ensure the quality of data in the system. Field staff, supervisors, programme managers, and national-level analysts all have a role to play in ensuring that health information is accurate, complete, timely, consistent, and valid. By following good data entry practices and making use of the system's quality monitoring tools, Sri Lanka's health sector can maintain a strong and reliable foundation for public health action.

High-quality data is more than a technical requirement — it is a public health necessity. Every data entry contributes to the bigger picture of national health and shapes the ability to protect communities from disease threats.

#### Compiled by:

Dr. D. J. Sheron Perera. Medical Officer – Health Informatics Epidemiology Unit

# References:

- 1. DHIS2, 2024. *Data quality*. [online] Available at: <a href="https://docs.dhis2.org/en/use/user-guides/dhis-core-version-241/collecting-data/data-quality.html">https://docs.dhis2.org/en/use/user-guides/dhis-core-version-241/collecting-data/data-quality.html</a> [Accessed 11 August 2025].
- 2. DHIS2, 2024. *Principles of data quality*. [online] Available at: https://docs.dhis2.org/en/implement/data-quality/principles.html [Accessed 11 August 2025].
- 3. World Health Organisation (WHO), 2021. *Data Quality Assurance (DQA) toolkit*. [online] Available at: <a href="https://www.who.int/data/data-collection-tools/health-service-data/data-quality-assurance-dqa">https://www.who.int/data/data-collection-tools/health-service-data/data-quality-assurance-dqa</a> [Accessed 11 August 2025].
- 4. World Health Organisation (WHO), 2021. *DQR Module 1: Framework and metrics*. [online] Available at: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/data-quality-pages/2021\_dqa\_module-1-framework-and-metrics-19-04-21.pdf? isAllowed=y&sequence=1&sfvrsn=13c95fb1\_3 [Accessed 11 August 2025].
- 5. DHIS2, 2024. Usage and interpretation of the WHO Data Quality Tool. [online] Available at: https://docs.dhis2.org/en/use/optional-apps/who-data-quality-tool/usage-and-interpretation.html [Accessed 11 August 2025].

Table 1: Selected notifiable diseases reported by Medical Officers of Health 24th - 30th May 2025 (22nd Week)

Tab	le 1	: S	elec	ted	noti	ifiab	le c	lise	ases	s re <sub>l</sub>	oort	ed k	y M	ledi	cal	Offic	cers	of I	Hea	lth	24 <sup>th</sup>	-30	th M	ay 2	2025	(2	2nd \	Wee	k)
	*.	100	100	200	100	100	100	100	100	100	93	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	90	100	100	100	100	100	66	
WRCD		94	100	96	96	100	100	92	100	7	98	100	80	100	100	93	100	100	100	82	87	100	94	28	20	91	100	93	
>	*	861	471 1	252	327	67 1	123 1	222	64 1	2.2	93	25 1	22	25 1	18 1	64	28 1	47 1	155 1	92	122	37 1	127	22	189	125	62 1	3750	
losis	В	Φ	4	Ñ	Ŕ		<del></del>	2		·	·	,,,	.,	,,			.,	,	=		<del>+</del>	,,,	<del>+-</del>		=	+		37	
Tuberculosis		37	20	5	15	7	2	10	က	7	က	-	0	0	က	7	က	0	6	12	9	-	6	4	12	9	2	175	
	<	-	22	~	34	111	0	က	138	55	0	~	0	7	7	-	15	က	247	17	330	174	20	92	100	16	0	1397	
Leishmania-	В	0	2	0		3	0		2 1	5	0	0	0	0		0	2	0	7 2					_		0	0		
Leish	⋖				_	(,)	U	_		4,			U		_				1	2	12	2	~	4	2		U	47	
tis		29	70	24	12	7	10	84	13	22	16	0	12	13	5	19	23	10	73	48	42	<del>-</del>	36	27	61	22	23	740	
Meningitis	<b>a</b>	0	7	-	0	0	0	∞	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	2	0	7	2	2	-	0	~	2	က	4	44	
	<	251	419	421	224	09	117	357	183	201	219	က	15	23	17	108	88	71	369	87	170	92	208	27	225	408	87	4503	
Chickenpox	В			-																				0.1					
Chick	<	5	29	30	Φ	7	0	7	_	9	8	0	0	2	0	9	5	4	19	2	က	က	7	2	4	23	က	191	
H. Rabiies	В	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	_	0	0	0	0	~	0	0	2	
H. Ra	⋖	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Hep.	В	7	7	4	9	2	0	7	3	9	2	~	0	0	0	16	3	2	3	_	10	15	21	12	9	6	2	155	
Viral	⋖	_	<b>—</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	<del>-</del>	0	_	~	<del>-</del>	2	<del>-</del>	0	<b>←</b>	7	
al al		5	$\infty$	~	29	က	25	37	14	1	334	7	<del></del>	7	7	~	2	6	20	28	4	~	15	23	16	7	_	640	
Typhus F.	В	0	<del></del>	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	<	220	371	324	129	113	26	379	218	234	118	26	19	26	47	61	118	92	415	166	262	148	168	357	777	355	62	5321	
Leptospirosis	В	2	က	က	_	~		c	2	2	~						~		4	_	2	~	_	က	7	က		53	
Leptos	<	12	33	17	3	5	~	16	2	7	~	0	0	0	<b>←</b>	က	7	2	18	က	2	6	4	4	20	17	9	206	
ing		2	63	26	16	47	45	36	က	4	25	2	2	28	23	82	2	26	25	2	16	2	0	4	22	27	17	562	
F. Poisoning	В	0	00	0	4	<b>←</b>	0	က	0	0	0	<b>←</b>	0	0	21	က	0	<b>←</b>	0	-	0	2	0	0	0	<b>←</b>	2	48	
ш	⋖	9	_	œ	4	0	4	_	0	_	10	4	0	_	_	0	0	_	_	0	က	_	က	0	က	တ	0	62 ,	
En. Fever	В	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	⋖	က	23	œ	က	_	4	က	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	7	တ	7	<del></del>	က	9	က	<b>∞</b>	က	2	တ	2	2	
Encephalitis	В		2													~			~									125	
Ence	⋖	0	_	2	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	0	0	~	0	0	_	0	0	~	0	8	
ery		15	23	24	33	14	36	23	14	7	44	∞	4	7	4	86	25	26	26	12	22	0	18	7	73	39	18	621	
Dysentery	A B	0	_	0	_	0	2	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	4	0	2	က	_	0	<del>-</del>	0	<del>-</del>	7	_	24	
		5688	3545	1070	1538	289	106	906	406	748	674	09	103	42	36	1298	113	755	653	362	335	141	364	431	2500	669	241	23501	
Dengue Fever	В																												
Deng	⋖	302	197	71	105	20	7	44	00	26	20	2	2	_	_	52	10	39	09	1	<del>+</del>	12	26	26	197	61	4	1315	
							liya		ota			È			_	æ		lee	3 <u>la</u>		apura	uwa		ala	æ			Ϋ́	
RDHS		Colombo	Gampaha	Kalutara	Kandy	Matale	Nuwara Eliya	Galle	Hambantota	Matara	Jaffna	Kilinochchi	Mannar	Vavuniya	Mullaitivu	Batticaloa	Ampara	Trincomalee	Kurunegala	Puttalam	Anuradhapura	Polonnaruwa	Badulla	Monaragala	Ratnapura	Kegalle	Kalmunai	SRILANKA	
윤		ဒ	Ga	ā	κa	ĭ Z	ž	යි	Ŧ	₩ Z	Ja	至	Ma	\ A	Ę	Ba	A	Έ	조	P	A	P	Ba	ĭ	Ra	象	κa	Ŋ	

Source: Weekly Returns of Communicable Diseases (esurvillance.epid.gov.lk). T=Timeliness refers to returns received on or before 23rd May, 2025 Total number of reporting units 361 Number of reporting units data provided for the current week. B = Cumulative cases for the year.

# Table 2: Vaccine-Preventable Diseases & AFP

24th - 30th May 2025 (22nd Week)

Disease	No. o	No. of Cases by Province									Number of cases during same	Total number of cases to date in	Total num- ber of cases to date in	Difference between the number of cases to date	
	W	С	S	N	Е	NW	NC	U	Sab	week in 2025	week in 2024	2025	2024	in 2025 & 2024	
AFP*	00	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	01	28	34	-17.6%	
Diphtheria	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0 %	
Mumps	04	00	01	00	01	01	00	00	00	07	04	102	128	-20.3 %	
Measles	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	02	01	211	-99.5%	
Rubella	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	02	-50%	
CRS**	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	0 %	
Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	03	02	50 %	
Neonatal Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0 %	
Japanese Encephalitis	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	04	01	300 %	
Whooping Cough	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	12	11	9.1 %	

### Key to Table 1 & 2

Provinces: W: Western, C: Central, S: Southern, N: North, E: East, NC: North Central, NW: North Western, U: Uva, Sab: Sabaragamuwa.

RDHS Divisions: CB: Colombo, GM: Gampaha, KL: Kalutara, KD: Kandy, ML: Matale, NE: Nuwara Eliya, GL: Galle, HB: Hambantota, MT: Matara, JF: Jaffna,

KN: Killinochchi, MN: Mannar, VA: Vavuniya, MU: Mullaitivu, BT: Batticaloa, AM: Ampara, TR: Trincomalee, KM: Kalmunai, KR: Kurunegala, PU: Puttalam,

AP: Anuradhapura, PO: Polonnaruwa, BD: Badulla, MO: Moneragala, RP: Ratnapura, KG: Kegalle.

Data Sources:

Weekly Return of Communicable Diseases: Diphtheria, Measles, Tetanus, Neonatal Tetanus, Whooping Cough, Chickenpox, Meningitis, Mumps., Rubella, CRS,

Special Surveillance: AFP\* (Acute Flaccid Paralysis ), Japanese Encephalitis

CRS\*\* =Congenital Rubella Syndrome

NA = Not Available

Take prophylaxis medications for leptospirosis during the paddy cultivation and harvesting seasons.

It is provided free by the MOH office / Public Health Inspectors

It is provided free by the MOH office / Public Health Inspectors.

Comments and contributions for publication in the WER Sri Lanka are welcome. However, the editor reserves the right to accept or reject items for publication. All correspondence should be mailed to The Editor, WER Sri Lanka, Epidemiological Unit, P.O. Box 1567, Colombo or sent by E-mail to chepid@sltnet.lk. Prior approval should be obtained from the Epidemiology Unit before publishing data in this publication

# ON STATE SERVICE

Dr. H. A. Tissera Actg. CHIEF EPIDEMIOLOGIST EPIDEMIOLOGY UNIT 231, DE SARAM PLACE COLOMBO 10