

RI LANKA 202

WEEKLY EPIDEMIOLOGICAL REPORT

A publication of the Epidemiology Unit Ministry of Health, Nutrition & Indigenous Medicine 231, de Saram Place, Colombo 01000, Sri Lanka Tele: + 94 11 2695112, Fax: +94 11 2696583, E mail: epidunit@sltnet.lk Epidemiologist: +94 11 2681548, E mail: chepid@sltnet.lk Web: http://www.epid.gov.lk

Vol. 50 No. 27

01st-07th July 2023

Infectious Disease Surveillance Part II

This is the last article of the series of two articles named as Infectious Disease Surveillance.

Syndromic (Clinical) versus Laboratoryconfirmed Surveillance case definitions

Surveillance networks identify and enroll cases that meet specific case definitions. Case definitions have 3 essential components: person, place and time. Case definitions vary in sensitivity and specificity. *Sensitive case definitions* are more inclusive and less likely to miss cases, but will include a higher proportion of patients that do not have the disease as well e.g. current SL case definition for suspected measles/rubella. However, *specific case definitions* have stricter criteria and will exclude more patients that do not have the disease but can also miss patients with milder / atypical disease presentations. In general, case definitions should be as sensitive and specific as possible.

Syndromic surveillance

Involves monitoring cases that meet a clinical case definition for the disease under surveillance, typically without laboratory confirmation. This allows for rapid identification of a cluster of cases that might warrant further investigation. E.g. acute fever/rash surveillance used to monitor measles and rubella. As field investigations are ongoing, laboratory testing can be carried out to determine the etiology. Syndromic surveillance system case definitions can be used in emergency / outbreak situations as an alert system to identify suspect cases that meet a broad case definition to then be further investigated. In contrast, some surveillance case definitions are based on confirmed cases in a laboratory where the etiologic agent can be identified through a variety of lab tests (e.g. serology, bacterial culture etc). For example, virologic influenza surveillance networks use laboratoryconfirmed influenza to determine the circulating strains to provide information for vaccine composition. A critical objective of laboratory-based surveillance is to monitor for emerging drug resistance in pathogens or shifts in serotype

distribution.

Zoonotic Surveillance

Zoonotic diseases cause disease in humans and can be challenging to control since both animals and humans can be the hosts. Currently, many zoonotic diseases of public health importance exist (e.g. Avian influenza, Ebola, Lyme disease, COVID-19, SARS, Nipah virus, rabies etc). While traditionally, zoonotic and human disease surveillance has existed separately, there has been a push to harmonize these systems to improve surveillance for diseases affecting both populations. An example is the surveillance for Borrelia burgorferi, the causative agent for Lyme disease, in the tick population can help public health staff to determine proper interventions to decrease the transmission from ticks to humans. The One Health approach emphasizes the link of human health to the surrounding environment and animals.

Serosurveillance

Serosurveillance involves the use of blood specimens to determine the burden of disease or immunity gaps in a population. Usually done as a periodic survey for multiple diseases of interest simultaneously. However, this surveillance cannot provide timely information; thus an outbreak might be discovered by this method, but could be potentially too late for an intervention to reduce disease transmission. An example of this type of surveillance is the Hepatitis B Serosurveillance which was carried out among pregnant women and children <5 years conducted by the Epidemiology Unit in SL in 2022. As hepatitis B is frequently asymptomatic in children, evaluating the impact of vaccination is extremely challenging. Thus, this type of serosurveillance among cohorts of vaccinated chil-dren help to identify the burden of disease and determine impact of vaccination efforts.

Adverse Events Following Immunization (AEFI) Surveillance

Contents	Page
1. Infectious Disease Surveillance Part II	1
2. Summary of selected notifiable diseases reported $(24^{\text{th}} - 30^{\text{th}})$ June 2023)	3
3. Surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases & AFP (24 th - 30 th June 2023)	4

WER Sri Lanka – Vol. 50 No . 27

01st-07th July 2023

AEFI surveillance is a critical component of ensuring vaccine safety in the populations where vaccines are being used. It often begins at the health facility level, where Health Care Workers (HCW) are trained to identify AEFI and reported to higher levels. This surveillance is essential for investigating problems that could potentially occur with mishandling of vaccines in the cold chain (improper storage) and during administration of vaccines, which can directly impact the public perception of the vaccine program.

Innovative Technology Strategies for Surveillance

Technology has increased the availability of data on health that can be used for infectious disease surveillance. New data sources include mobile data, electronic health records and social media. These aggregate sources and speed at which they can be compiled are referred to as 'big data'. They can provide more real-time information to help mitigate outbreaks or improve health of the population. However, suitable technology needs to be in place along with appropriate methods of analyzing the accuracy of data for such type of surveillance to be considered as precise. Use of mobile technology to improve systems is also an area of public health importance (m-health). Mobile data can monitor movement of people during an outbreak and this info can be used to predict how a specific disease will spread. However, the ethics behind this level of access to people movements also need to be taken into account.

Global and Regional Surveillance Partnerships for Disease Control

The *Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response (IDSR)* strategy was primarily drafted for the African Region in 1998 with the aim of integrating surveillance carried out at community, health facility, district and national level to improve data collection and conserve resources. This can help reduce the work burden at all levels of health staff, is more efficient and costs less than nonintegrated surveillance. However, the challenge is the necessity of often more information than is readily available to target intervention activities.

The *Global Outbreak and Response Network (GOARN)* is a WHO-coordinated network comprised of over 600 partners worldwide involved in epidemic surveillance. Purpose is to coordinate a rapid response to international disease emergencies through deployment of resources to the affected countries.

Increase in international travel is an important risk factor in the spread of infectious diseases as is the case in many infectious diseases from common travelers' diarrhea to the COVID pandemic. A serious threat to public health can occur especially when novel pathogens are introduced into a naïve (not vaccinated or without protective antibodies) community. *GeoSentinel* is a global network of clinics assessing travelers' and migrants' health for illnesses acquired while abroad. This network of clinics confirms and registers cases of infectious diseases acquired while travelling and such surveillance info is useful for tracking movement of diseases and informing guidelines for travel medicine.

Disseminating Infectious Disease Surveillance information

Surveillance is an action oriented public health tool. Time lags can thus affect outcomes if there isn't a rapid response with interventions.

Periodic Dissemination Tools: Surveillance bulletins and reports are a frequently used method for disseminating surveillance information. These can be used to send info to stakeholders and partners involved with the surveillance. Scientific literature and conferences are also important avenues for dissemination of surveillance data. However, there can be a long time-lag between data generation and publication in this scenario. While this is critical for improving wealth of available knowledge, it is not timely enough to mobilize an outbreak re-

sponse. The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) from CDC and the Weekly Epidemiological Record (WER) from WHO are two examples of periodic, non-peer-reviewed dissemination tools.

Ongoing, real-time dissemination tools: With advance of social media and the internet, innovative strategies for quicker dissemination of surveillance information for rapid public health interventions become available. Several examples exist such as the *Program for Monitoring Emerging Diseases (ProMED)* run by the International Society for Infectious Diseases, the online platform – *HealthMap* run by the Boston Children's hospital and the *Health Alert Network* at the CDC. Event based surveillance entails monitoring cases and disease outbreaks through formal and informal news and online reporting platforms. Traditional surveillance can miss many outbreaks or delay the opportunity for intervention. However, the data and reporting methods are much less structured than the traditional surveillance, but allows for quick detection of events that require investigation.

Surveillance as a Platform for Research and Special studies

Using an existing surveillance network as a platform for surveillance of additional diseases allows streamlining resources and can be a cost-effective measure to improve public health.

Vaccine Studies: Surveillance sites can be used as platforms for research and special studies. Since surveillance for VPDs are often conducted in infectious disease surveillance sites, studies on vaccine effectiveness and vaccine impact can be built on the platform of surveillance. Vaccine impact studies can use surveillance to demonstrate reduction of disease after introducing an intervention such as a vaccine. Vaccine effectiveness studies evaluate the ability of a vaccine to control the disease in a real-world setting, which differs from vaccine efficacy studies where the vaccine impact is estimated in a controlled clinical situation.

Burden of Disease Models: Estimating the burden of disease at the country or global level with epidemiological models can be a critical part of using surveillance data and advocacy for disease interventions. In situations where surveillance data is inadequate, or lab confirmation is not available, or relevant data has not been collected; models using local and nonlocal data can be considered.

Source:

Cohen, A.L., Murray, J. (2017). Infectious Disease Surveillance. *International Encyclopedia of Public Health*, 2nd Ed, Vol 4, 222-229. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803678-5.00517-8

Compiled by: Dr Dhivya A. Nathaniel Registrar in Community Medicine Epidemiology Unit

WER Sri Lanka - Vol. 50 No. 27
Table 1: Selected notifiable diseases reported by Medica

01st- 07th July 2023

Tab	le 1	: Se	elect	ted	notif	fiab	le di	isea	ses	rep	orte	ed b	уM	edic	al C	Offic	ers	of H	leal	th	24 th	- 30	th Ji	une	202	3 (2	26 th	Wee	k)
	C**	100	66	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	93	66	100	100	100	100	51	100	100	100	66	98	100	100	100	100	66	98	
WRCD	*	26	7	16	85	21	59	35	25	52	63	18	34	6	22	55	12	23	23	19	22	34	63	25	34	29	39	35	-
Leishmania-	В	ъ	29	н	18	176		2	347	105	2	0	0	7	ъ		2		274	15	306	239	20	102	106	18	0	1782	, to
Leish	A	0	2	0	2				б	ω	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	œ	2	Μ		9	0	0	43	
ngitis	В	25	41	52	16	4	8	12	16	14	9	0	2	m	0	24	12	20	94	33	30	13	27	45	108	35	22	667	
Meningitis	A	0	Ч	Ч	2	0	0	0	H	7	0	0	Ч	0	0	0		0	9	0	1	0	Ч	4	Ŋ	Ч	2	29	
Chickenpox	В	164	145	255	150	31	69	197	92	160	114	12	1	14	12	45	20	34	287	74	144	49	104	48	105	250	4	2620	
Chick	A	4	0	4	4	0	ъ	∞	0	∞	m	0	0	0		4			10	0	2	0	ъ	2	ч	10	÷	74	
L	В	0	0	Ч		0	0		0	2		0	0	0	0	Ч	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	Η	0	0	10	
Human	A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	В	m	6	4	ω	m	4		7	2	2	0	0	Ч		ъ		0	6	Ч	2	12	61	17	12	Υ	0	163	
Viral	A	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ч	0	0	0	0	4	
ns	в	0	9	н	37	10	43	28	50	20	473	9	ъ	7	ы		0	13	6	7	28	ъ	29	29	18	22	Ч	853	
Typhus	A	0	0	0	Н	0	m		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	m	0	Ч	Ч	2	Ч	Ч	15	
Leptospirosis	в	176	314	485	151	107	63	540	199	354	ω	7	30	25	29	63	20	55	229	34	212	126	196	394	693	401	34	4945	
Lepte	A	~	2	12	~	9	m	16	2	6	0	0	m	0	0	ω	0	0	4	2	S		15	~	28	15	0	14	
d Poi-	В	7	2	Ŋ	12	8	38	19	8	11	16	16	0	0	11	17	0	17	4	Ч	2	9	27	0	13	8	0	248	
Food Poi	∢	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	
Enteric Fever	В	H		0	2	H	2	ъ		Ч	6	0	Η	0	m	ъ	0	0	0	Η	Ч	0	0	0	2	2	0	43	
	A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Encephaliti	В	10	13	Ч	0	0	2	12	m	9		0	0	Η	0	9		Ч	~	Ч	0	ъ	ω	ъ	13	н	10	102	
Ence	A		0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		4	
Dysentery	В	~	∞	14	24	2	80	30	9	19	51	ъ	9	ъ	6	135		12	25	8	ъ	10	22	15	28	13	4	584	
Dys	A	0	0	0		0	4	7	0	0			0	0			0	7	0			0	Μ			0	2	22	
Fever	В	9027	9344	3085	3391	850	136	1416	988	1052	1634	73	73	115	91	1855	69	1861	2027	2601	538	431	668	376	1379	1872	1518	46470	
Dengue Fever	A	342	302	129	242	38	8	94	46	40	24	4	2	H	4	51		34	103	35	32	8	26	17	64	76	15	1738	
RDHS		Colombo	Gampaha	Kalutara	Kandy	Matale	NuwaraEliya	Galle	Hambantota	Matara	Jaffna	Kilinochchi	Mannar	Vavuniya	Mullaitivu	Batticaloa	Ampara	Trincomalee	Kurunegala	Puttalam	Anuradhapur	Polonnaruwa	Badulla	Monaragala	Ratnapura	Kegalle	Kalmune	SRILANKA	

WER Sri Lanka - Vol. 50 No. 27

Table 2: Vaccine-Preventable Diseases & AFP

01st-07th July 2023

24th-30th June 2023(26th Week)

Disease	No. of Cases by Province										Number of cases during same	Total number of cases to date in	Total num- ber of cases to date in	Difference between the number of cases to date	
	W	С	S	Ν	Е	NW	NC	U	Sab	week in 2023	week in 2022	2023	2022	in 2023 & 2022	
AFP*	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	00	02	02	00	48	43	11.6 %	
Diphtheria	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0 %	
Mumps	01	00	00	00	00	00	02	00	01	05	01	112	35	220 %	
Measles	06	01	00	03	00	00	01	00	00	11	01	40	13	207.6 %	
Rubella	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	0 %	
CRS**	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0 %	
Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	05	05	0 %	
Neonatal Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0 %	
Japanese Enceph- alitis	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	02	07	- 71.4 %	
Whooping Cough	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	04	01	300 %	
Tuberculosis	106	00	12	15	15	03	08	08	07	174	18	4624	3050	51.6 %	

Key to Table 1 & 2

Provinces:

W: Western, C: Central, S: Southern, N: North, E: East, NC: North Central, NW: North Western, U: Uva, Sab: Sabaragamuwa.

RDHS Divisions: CB: Colombo, GM: Gampaha, KL: Kalutara, KD: Kandy, ML: Matale, NE: Nuwara Eliya, GL: Galle, HB: Hambantota, MT: Matara, JF: Jaffna,

KN: Killinochchi, MN: Mannar, VA: Vavuniya, MU: Mullaitivu, BT: Batticaloa, AM: Ampara, TR: Trincomalee, KM: Kalmunai, KR: Kurunegala, PU: Puttalam, AP: Anuradhapura, PO: Polonnaruwa, BD: Badulla, MO: Moneragala, RP: Ratnapura, KG: Kegalle.

Data Sources:

Weekly Return of Communicable Diseases: Diphtheria, Measles, Tetanus, Neonatal Tetanus, Whooping Cough, Chickenpox, Meningitis, Mumps., Rubella, CRS, Special Surveillance: AFP* (Acute Flaccid Paralysis), Japanese Encephalitis

CRS** =Congenital Rubella Syndrome

NA = Not Available

Take prophylaxis medications for leptospirosis during the paddy cultivation and harvesting seasons.

It is provided free by the MOH office / Public Health Inspectors.

Comments and contributions for publication in the WER Sri Lanka are welcome. However, the editor reserves the right to accept or reject items for publication. All correspondence should be mailed to The Editor, WER Sri Lanka, Epidemiological Unit, P.O. Box 1567, Colombo or sent by E-mail to chepid@sltnet.lk. Prior approval should be obtained from the Epidemiology Unit before publishing data in this publication

ON STATE SERVICE

Dr. Samitha Ginige Actg. CHIEF EPIDEMIOLOGIST EPIDEMIOLOGY UNIT 231, DE SARAM PLACE COLOMBO 10